The formal submission of a senior project to the department will consist of a binder containing the following documents, in the order listed, together with machine-readable source code for the project (in a git repo under github.com/gordon-cs). A preliminary submission is due on the Monday following spring break, with the final submission being due near the end of spring semester, as shown in the syllabus for CPS492. A copy of the “Evaluation Form for Senior Project Notebook” (Appendix D) should be included with the preliminary submission. See discussion of the individual documents below for details regarding the content of each document.

The documentation and approval process outlined here is fairly structured. Of course, often “real-world” projects use a much more flexible approach to development documentation. With smaller projects, in particular, it is common to use a more "agile" or "low ceremony" approach. The main reason for using a more structured approach here is pedagogical: to give you experience with the various issues that need to be considered when doing a project (even if not as formally documented). (Copies of the notebooks from the previous year are available from the professor, and can be borrowed (for a short period of time). You will probably find these very helpful as examples.)

The complete notebook will contain the following, in this order:

1. Approved senior project proposal, with all necessary signatures showing acceptance of the original proposal and of the finished project.
5. Software installation and maintenance documentation, including:
   • An inventory of files needed to build the software, indicating where these files may be found.
   • Commands needed to build the software.
   • Installation procedure for the software.
6. Source code listings of all software components, incorporating relevant design information via comments. This should be preceded by an outline and/or other documentation showing the relationship of the components to one another.
7. Test plan and test report prepared by peer tester. (Final submission only)

Each document must be neatly printed and punched for insertion into the binder. Each of documents (except the proposal - #1) must have a title page with the signature of the client and/or departmental representative showing acceptance of the final form of the document (including changes requested following the original submission.) (See Appendix B for a sample title page.)

• All documents must have the signature of the departmental representative
• Documents 1, 2, 3 must also have the signature of the client. (#1 in two places)

It should be noted that this submission is for the department. You must also give appropriate documentation to the person for whom the project is being done and/or to a technical person who
can assist the client with ongoing maintenance of the software. You will probably also want to keep a copy of the notebook for yourself.

Exceptions to this format may be made where appropriate, but must be approved in advance by the departmental representative overseeing the project.

**Specific Information about Document Formats**

You will probably find it helpful to look at senior projects from recent years to get some idea of what the various documents should look like.

In some cases, there are standard outlines for the document which your document must follow; in other cases, you should create an outline that is appropriate for your project - don't slavishly imitate the examples in the textbook or the work of previous years' project teams. Note, though, that the document templates in the Bruegge book are somewhat different from those in other books, so the outline of your documents will not be identical to those done by other students. Where a document template in Bruegge is specified, follow the outline exactly as given. If a section in the outline does not apply, simply indicate “not applicable” rather than skipping it.

Because the document templates are designed to allow each document to be read as a standalone document, there is considerable redundancy between documents, especially in the introductory sections. You should feel free to make use of “cut and paste” (with suitable editing) for these sections.

The following are specific expectations for the various documents:

**Senior Project Proposal**

You must use the standard project proposal form (see Appendix A.) All blanks on the proposal form (except for signatures) should be word-processed, not hand-written. A blank copy of the proposal form which can be filled in using a word-processor is available on the course web page.

**Social Impact Statement** (Often called an SIS)

There is no standard format for this document, but it should cover the issues discussed in Shneiderman §3.8.

**Requirements Analysis Document** (Sometimes called a Software Requirements Specification - SRS)

This document should follow the outline on page 152 of the text by Bruegge.

Bruegge’s outline is adapted from IEEE Standard IEEE 830-1993, particularly to incorporate use cases and various UML diagrams. Note that 3.2 looks small in the outline, but documents the main body of requirements. A lot of work needs to go into section 3.4 “System Models” - but investing the effort now will pay off later! You can be a bit flexible with the format of this particular section. Also, remember that this is an analysis document, not a design document, so you should restrict yourself to material that is relevant to understanding what your system is to do, without spelling out
how it is to do it. Your document should include a use case diagram in section 3.4.2, along with a scenario for each use case. It may include an analysis class diagram in section 3.4.3, and may include state diagrams, activity diagrams, and/or sequence diagrams (for each use case) in section 3.4.4 if they are helpful in understanding the use case. However, do not feel compelled to include diagrams in §3.4.3 or 3.4.4 if they are not needed for making the requirements clear. If your system is not strictly object-oriented, you may find it useful to include a data-flow diagram in this section - see discussion of DFD’s in an earlier book by Schach (borrow from professor) §11.3.

Note the expectation that the document also incorporate a high-level design of the user interface in section 3.4.5. The functional design work you did developing your rapid prototype (i.e. screens flow between screens) should be incorporated here - the rapid prototype itself is not included in the project notebook.

**System Design Document**

The outline on page 285 of the text by Bruegge might be helpful. But also see Appendix C - “Some Suggestions Regarding the Senior Project System Design Document.”. Note that the template in Bruegge is fairly high-level, and may not be the best outline for your system. For your projects, developing the design in more detail (e.g. through the use of class diagram(s), state diagrams, activity diagrams, and/or sequence diagrams) is helpful here.

An adequate design document will lead a qualified reviewer, like one of your classmates to answer "yes" to these questions: “Do I understand the parts of the system, how they should work, and how they interact with each other and the user? Do I think I could build one of the pieces and have it work well with the others? Will the system, as designed, work and meet the requirements? Can the author(s) build, test, deploy, and refine this system in the time available?” This is the "acid-test" for an adequate document!

The precise form this document takes will be heavily dependent on the architecture of the system.

- It should identify the major modules comprising the system (classes, scripts, or whatever).
  For each major module, the design should spell out its purpose (what are its responsibilities?) and its interface (what services does it make available to other modules and what do those other modules have to provide by way of parameters to use those services?)
- If the modules of the system lend themselves to being logically grouped into packages, then it should describe the package structure (perhaps using a package diagram.)
- If the system makes use of any major frameworks or design patterns, then these should be referenced in the design. (The task here would not be to describe the pattern, but rather to discuss how the system will make use of it.)
- If the system makes use of a database, then the system design should include the design of the database, utilizing an ER diagram and/or the schema of the various tables, including specification of primary and foreign keys.
- In any case, the rationale for key design decisions (as discussed in Bruegge ch. 12) should be discussed in the document.

One important component of this document should be a statement regarding the programming language(s) and any other tools that will be used and the rationale for choosing it/them.
You will have successfully accomplished this task if you produce a document which is such that someone else could build your project from your design by constructing the various modules as specified by the design. Again, working hard now will pay off later.

**Software Installation and Maintenance Documentation**

There are no specific format expectations for this document. In developing this document, put yourself in the shoes of someone who is installing your software after you have graduated or who comes along several years from now and needs to make a small change to your system. What would this person need to know in order to be able to successfully rebuild/reinstall your system from the sources after making the necessary changes?

**Detailed Design Documents and Source Code Listings**

To the extent possible, detailed design documentation should be incorporated into the source code in the form of appropriate comments - e.g.

- File/class prologue comments incorporating a statement of purpose and (where appropriate) class invariant information.
- Method prologue comments incorporating a description of parameters and return value and, where appropriate, pre and post-conditions for the method.
- Descriptions of key variables (at the point of declaration if using a language that requires variables to be declared - else at the start of each chunk of code.)
- Comments embedded in the code describing anything that would not be obvious to the reader.

Where appropriate, this should be supplemented with appropriate external documentation such as more detailed class diagrams (showing methods and variables), state, activity, or sequence diagrams, etc. If the code is broken up into packages, then the notebook should contain a description of the purpose of each package, as well as a package diagram (if one was not included in the system design.)

A guiding principle is to put yourself into the shoes of a later maintainer, who will need to be able to understand your code well enough to make necessary changes. Include appropriate documentation and organize the code in such a way as to make this easy. Remember the golden rule!

Your program should make good use of the commenting conventions of the language it is written in. For example, if your program is written in Java, make maximum use of javadoc comments for internal documentation, and include appropriate javadoc-generated .html files with your source code. Of course, other programming languages often have similar commenting conventions, which you should use.

**Test Plan and Test Report**

The test plan should follow the outline on page 478 of the text by Bruegge. Each test case should be documented by a test case specification as outlined on page 480. The test report should incorporate a Test Incident Report and a Test Summary Report as discussed on page 480.
APPENDIX A - SENIOR PROJECT PROPOSAL FORM

Student Name(s) ________________________________________________________________

COMPUTER SCIENCE SENIOR PROJECT PROPOSAL

The following statement appears in the Gordon college catalog with regard to the Computer Science major - General Concentration: “Students must carry out a senior project (approved in advance by the department) in which they demonstrate the ability to apply classroom learning to an actual computer application or research project of significant size.”

A copy of this form, together with necessary project approval signatures and the other information requested, must be submitted to the department before significant work on the proposed project is begun. You are encouraged to submit your proposal as far in advance as possible. Proposals submitted less than one month before the last day of classes for the term immediately preceding your final term before graduation will NOT be considered. You will be notified of the department's acceptance or non-acceptance of this proposal within one working week of submitting it. All work for the project must be complete and necessary documentation must be submitted at least two weeks before the last day of classes of your final term before graduation, so as to allow time for evaluation of your work (and correction of deficiencies if necessary) before you graduate. A copy of this form with the project completion signature of the client must be turned in at that time - the departmental representative will sign this copy after reviewing it.

Date proposal submitted: _________ Date you plan to graduate: _________

Due date for completion of work and submission of all documentation: _________

Title of proposed project: _______________________________________________________

Will this work be done for course credit? _____ If yes, give course number(s) and academic term(s) under which credit will be earned: ________________________________

Estimate the total number of hours you will spend on this project: _________

Person/organization for whom project is being done: ________________________________

Full name, address, and title of the person who will be the client for your project.

___________________________________________________________________________

___________________________________________________________________________
I am willing to have this student's work be submitted to Gordon as part of his/her degree requirements. I believe that the work as proposed can realistically be completed within the time frame specified.

_______________________________________________________  ______________
(Signature of client)                                             (Date)

Gordon faculty member who will oversee your work on behalf of the department:

_______________________________________________________  ______________
(Signature of departmental representative)                     (Date)

**Please attach the following:**

1. Summary of what you propose to do and criteria for acceptance of your work. Remember, this will be used as the basis for determining whether your work is acceptable for graduation, so be specific and realistic
2. A draft software project management plan, including a schedule of tasks to be accomplished with milestone dates for each. For each task, estimate the number of hours of effort needed on your part(s).

**Departmental action:**

_____ Approved       _____ Conditionally approved subject to conditions listed below   _____ Disapproved for reasons listed below

_______________________________________________________  ______________
(Signature)                                                   (Date)

**Completion of project:** The work required for this project has been satisfactorily completed

_______________________________________________________  ______________
(Signature of client)                                         (Date)

_______________________________________________________  ______________
(Signature of departmental representative)                     (Date)

Note: when this form is initially approved, you will be given the signed original and one copy. The copy is for your client. The signed original - with the completion signature of the client added - goes in your final project notebook to be turned in just before graduation - so treat it with care!
APPENDIX B - SAMPLE DOCUMENT TITLE PAGE

(Document title - e.g. Project Plan) for

(project title)

To be submitted to the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Gordon College
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Science in Computer Science

by

(your name(s))

Revision date: (date of this version)

Document accepted on __________ by __________________________
(d(ate) (client)

Document accepted on __________ by __________________________
(d(ate) (departmental representative)

(NOTE: Client signature line is omitted on documents (6) - (9))
APPENDIX C - SOME SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE SENIOR PROJECT SYSTEM DESIGN DOCUMENT

The Senior Project System Design Document serves as a bridge between the two semesters of CS491–492. The first semester focuses on analysis, high-level design, and prototyping to help test and explore design ideas. That is, it focuses on identifying the overall goal of the project, specifying the major pieces that need to be built, and testing key ideas and assumptions with an initial prototype. The second semester focuses on detailed design, implementation, testing, and refinement - i.e. building, testing, and improving these pieces until they accomplish the original aim of the project. The system design document bridges the two semesters by identifying the pieces that need to be built, and their relationship to one another.

Typically, the system design document will center around one or a few diagrams that identify the key pieces that need to be built and their relationship to one another. The diagram(s) will typically be supplemented by appropriate textual discussion. The exact diagram(s) to be produced and the nature of the discussion will vary widely from project to project, depending on the type of project it is, but may include one or more of the following:

- If the software is basically object-oriented, then a class diagram is very appropriate, at least showing the major entity classes for the system.
  - The major pieces that need to be built are, of course, the classes that appear in this diagram.
  - The class diagram shows their relationship to one another, and needs to make careful use of UML symbols for generalization, realization, association (including multiplicity and navigability and possibly role names) (Dependency is not as useful to show).
  - It will probably need to be supplemented with some sort of descriptions of the classes that need to be built: at least a purpose statement for each class, and possibly a list of responsibilities for each.

  An additional level of detail that may prove useful is sequence diagrams showing how the various use cases are realized by messages between objects that are instances of this class - however, care is needed to avoid excessive detail at this point.

- If the software is basically a web-based system, then a state diagram is very appropriate. Each state corresponds to a particular page that the user is looking at (and ultimately to the process that creates that page if dynamic html is being used). In some cases a page might need to be represented by more than one state if the state of the page is affected by user actions on the page - e.g. a completely filled-out form may allow options that a blank form does not.
  - The transitions between states correspond to links - i.e. a state has a transition to another state just when the page it represents contains a link to the other page.
  - The transitions are annotated with information about the parameters that are passed as part of that link.

- If the application uses a client-server architecture, then an API specification is needed for the communication between the client and the server. This API should cover failure conditions
in detail, since the client and server can crash separately and communication between them can also fail or be slow or intermittent.

- If the software involves a relational database, then a schema diagram for the database (as in the CPS352 text p. 47) is helpful. It may also be useful to spell out what changes are made to the database corresponding to the different use cases - e.g., “this use case results in inserting a new row in the ___ table”. The transactions involved in updates should be described, along with reasoning about how deadlock and data corruption will be prevented.
APPENDIX D - EVALUATION FORM FOR SENIOR PROJECT NOTEBOOK

CPS492 - SENIOR SEMINAR: SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

Evaluation of initial project notebook submission - include this form with the initial submission of the notebook due on the first Monday after spring break.

Student(s)  ________________________________________________________________
  ________________________________________________________________

(Remainder of the form to be filled out by the professor and returned to you)

Issues noted below must be addressed in the final project notebook turned in at the end of the course. In addition, of course, project functionality issues identified during testing must be addressed.

Required Documents reviewed previously

1. Approved senior project proposal and problem statement

   ____ OK          ____ Signature(s) missing        ____ Totally missing

   Note: at this time, only the initial project approval signatures are required. When the completed notebook is turned in at the end of the semester, this form must have the necessary final completion signature of the client!!


   ____ OK          ____ Signature(s) missing        ____ Totally missing


   ____ OK          ____ Signature(s) missing        ____ Totally missing


   ____ OK          ____ Signature(s) missing        ____ Totally missing

Material not previously reviewed

5. Software installation and maintenance documentation

   ____ OK          ____ Inadequate                  ____ Totally missing

Comments
6. Detailed design documents and source code listings of all software components. This should be preceded by an outline showing the hierarchical relationship of the components to one another.

At this point, it is not expected that the source code will be the final source code, since changes will likely be necessitated as a result of testing. However, it is expected that the code submitted will be properly organized and documented.

____ Outline OK  ____ Outline inadequate  ____ Outline missing

Comments:

____ Code OK  ____ Code inadequately documented  ____ Code missing

Comments:

The following is not due until the final submission

6b. Updated source code in both printed and machine-readable form (on a disk or server).

7. Test plan and test report prepared by peer tester.